## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

Τ	IN	$D\Delta$	$F\Delta$	RH	ΔТ
ட	/II N.	$D\Lambda$	$\mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\Delta}$	TTT	ΔΙ,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No: 8:21-cv-1319-SDM-JSS

UNIQUE HEALTHCARE SYSTEMS, LLC,

Defendant.	
	,

## **ORDER**

THIS MATTER is before the court on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses (Dkt. 34) and Defendant's Response in Opposition (Dkt. 36), and Defendant's Amended Motion to Bifurcate Discovery (Dkt. 43) and Plaintiff's Response in Opposition (Dkt. 45) ("Motions"). On April 7, 2022, the court held a hearing on the Motions. Upon consideration, and for the reasons stated at the hearing, it is **ORDERED**:

1. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery (Dkt. 34) is **GRANTED** to the extent that although Defendant states the information Plaintiff is requesting is not within its possession, Defendant has agreed to aid in gathering the information pertaining to putative members of the class action and supplement its responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production Nos. 1-3,5-9,11,12,15-22, 24, 26, 29-35 and Interrogatories Nos. 1-2,4-6, 9, 11-14, 16-

18, 21. In supplementing its responses, Defendant shall redact any

personally identifiable information that is included in the disclosed

materials, consistent with this court's prior order (Dkt. 39).

2. Defendant's Amended Motion to Bifurcate Discovery (Dkt. 43) is

**DENIED**. Defendant clarified at the hearing that it was not seeking to have

discovery bifurcated but requesting that discovery regarding the putative

class members proceed as set forth above to protect the privacy rights of

Defendant's patients while allowing Plaintiff to obtain the information she

needs to seek class certification.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Tampa, Florida, on April 8, 2022.

JULIE S. SNEED

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record